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ABSTRACT

Background: Wireless Networks are made upon a shared medium that makes it simple for adversaries to establish a jamming attacks. The jamming attacks can rigorously interact with the normal operation of wireless networks. Objective: It be easily accomplished by an attacker releasing radio frequency signals that do not follow an underlying MAC protocol. Hence, a security mechanisms are needed to cope up with the jamming attacks. In this paper, the problem occurred in wireless sensor networks due to selective jamming attacks are addressed. Results: Hence, based on protocol semantics, a security mechanisms are proposed in this paper. Optimized Link State Routing protocol is incorporated to maintain a stable route between the source and destination. Error Tolerant Model (ETM) is used to check the network contains the jammer or not. Malicious node detection algorithm is implemented to identify the malicious nodes based on its behavior. It is monitored based on the Virtual Watchdog Timer strategy. Conclusion: The experimental results shows that the proposed method detects the selective jammer better than the existing selective jamming attack detection. Also, the energy consumption, packet drop are also reduced than the existing method.

INTRODUCTION

Wireless sensor network (WSN) is a wireless network consisting of spatially distributed autonomous devices using sensors to monitor physical or environmental conditions. A WSN system incorporates a gateway that provides wireless connectivity back to the wired world and distributed nodes. A major issue in WSN is network security, they are susceptible to the kind of radio interference attacks due to their distributed nature. These attacks are called as jamming attacks, it can be simply established by any node. An adversary node can compromise a sensor node and modifies the integrity of the data, inject fake messages, eavesdrop on messages and damage the network resources. In particularly, Jamming attack can cause damages about the performance and robustness of the network. Thus one of the challenges in formulating trusted WSNs is to facilitate high security features. Any wireless device with a transceiver can able to interact with the normal operation of wireless networks. Jamming consequences an increased energy consumption, loss of link reliability, disruption of end to end routes and extended packet delays. Jamming may be malicious with the purpose to avoid communication of an adversary node or it may be non-malicious with the purpose of unintended channel interference.

The jammer transmits active signals over the channels that interrupt with the signal broadcast by the server, which is shown in fig.1. In selective jamming attacks, the adversary node selectively targets particular packets by exploring the details of network protocols at various layers present in the protocol stack. To establish these attacks, the adversary node should be able to implement a classify-then-jam policy before the completion of a wireless transmission. These policy can be represented by classifying transmitted packets using protocol semantics (Thuente, D. and M. Acharya, 2006; Brown, T.X., 2006) or based on the decoding the packets on the fly (Wilhelm, M., 2011). The selective jamming needs an intimate details of the physical layer and also the specifics of the upper layers.
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In this paper, a novel security mechanism is proposed for the detection of selective jamming attacks using protocol semantics. The effectiveness of jamming in WSN using OLSR protocol and introduces an Error Tolerant Model to facilitate the jammer detection of such networks. The OLSR protocol is used to discover and establish stable routing paths. The nodes behavior is periodically monitored based on virtual watchdog timer. The proposed method results better detection of selective jamming attack than the existing method.

Fig. 1: Jamming attacks.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 summarizes the related works about jamming attacks in WSN. Section 3 describes about the proposed system. Section 4 describes the performance analysis. And finally, the paper is ended with the conclusion and future work at section 5.

**Methods:**

The aim of the jammer is to interrupt the normal operation of the broadcast system that results in higher waiting time and higher energy consumption. Proano et al designed a packet hiding methods for preventing selective jamming attacks. The selective jamming attacks were established by executing the real time packet classification on physical layer. This scheme integrates three methods to protect the real time packet classification by integrating the cryptographic primitives with the physical layer characteristics (Proano, A. and L. Lazos, 2012). Cakiroglu et al proposed a query based jamming detection algorithm. This algorithm was designed to prevent the jamming attacks. This algorithm uses an anomaly based method and it performs in a distributed manner. This algorithm was validated based on three criteria like detection rates, false positive rates and communication overheads (Cakiroglu, M. and A.T. Ozcerit, 2011). Chen et al formulated a framework for energy efficient adaptive jamming of adversarial communications. The jammer enhances its strategy as energy efficient by obtaining the targeted throughput result. A frequency hopping voice network was observed to regulate the optimal plan policy for proactive frequency jammer. CSMA packet protocol was examined on behalf of changing packet arrival rates at the nodes. The feedback control loop employs observable feedback to infer the network parameters. Cheng et al 2013 formulated an algorithm for mobile jammer localization in wireless sensor networks. This algorithm comprising the jammer and predicting the next jammer location. The algorithm was based on the received signal strength of jamming signals known as RSS based mobile jammer traction (Cheng, T. and P. Li, 2012).

Jeung et al introduced an adaptive rapid channel hopping technique. This scheme uses deception mechanism and dwell window to prevent the smart jammer attacks. The dwell window regulate the transmission time based on jammers capability. The other deception mechanism forces the jammer to attack an sedentary channel based on deceiving the jammer. Ju et al (2012) proposed a jamming attack detection and rate adaption technique for IEEE 802.11 multi hop tactical networks. The rate adaption method notices the jamming attack and chooses the data transmission mode. Kim et al (2012) proposed a localization approach to locate the wireless nodes. The power adaptation methods was used to discover the location of the jammer. Those properties were used to generalize the locations of jammed nodes. Lee et al (2011) developed a timely and robust key establishment model against jamming attack under critical wireless networks. A frequency quorum rendezvous scheme was utilized to prevent jamming during the key establishment stage. Lee et al (2010) designed a randomized channel hopping strategy for anti-jamming communication. Quorum Rendezvous Channel Hopping (QRCH) system was proposed to avoid jamming attacks. The quorum system was used to guarantee that the node exchanges the pending messages within a bounded time. It permits the nodes can simultaneously transmit the messages to multiple receivers.

Moumena et al (2014) proposed a fast anomaly detection using boxplot rule for multivariate data in presence of jammer. A centralized cooperative compressive spectrum sensing method was constructed based on the integration of graphical
boxplot rule and compressive sampling approach. The conventional signal and white Gaussian noise was converted into a digital signal based on analog to information converter through random demodulator. Cooperation between cognitive radios permits the users to identify the anomalies in the presence of jamming attack signal. Sasikala et al (2013) proposed a modified ant system to detect the jamming attack in WSN. The mechanism includes payment for pushback, strong authentication, network resources and identification traffic. Sun et al (2011) introduced a jammer localization method. The victim nodes share the location details at the border with their neighbor nodes. It calculates the convex hull for the group of target nodes and mines the resultant minimum covering circle. Zhenhua et al (2012) introduced a mechanism to localize a jammer based on the neighbor node changes. The jammer location was calculated based on solving a least squares problem, which exploits the changes of communication area. Liu et al (2012) proposed a randomized distributed scheme. It permits nodes to launch and regulate the control channel in presence of jammer. The algorithm was used for unique identification for the group of compromised nodes.

Chiang et al (2011) formulated a cross layer jamming detection and mitigation in wireless broadcast networks. A code tree system was used to deliver input to the physical layer. Each receiver conjoins with the transmitter to identify any jamming which interrupts the receivers. Each benign user was guaranteed in order to eliminate the attacker after some limited number of losses based on arbitrarily high probability. Jiang et al (2011) introduced a framework for providing survivability against jamming attack for multi radio multi-channel wireless mesh networks. Here, efficient routing and channel assignment techniques were used to relieve the interruption occurred by normal nodes and jamming nodes. The greedy scheduling algorithm was used with dynamic channel assignment to schedule the network and jamming traffic. A greedy static edge channel assignment algorithm was used to assign a channel to an edge at the beginning and maintained all over the time slots. The global restoration and local restoration strategies were considered to support a tradeoff between network throughput after restoration and latency.

Wang et al (2013) proposed a collaborative jamming and collaborative defense in cognitive radio networks. The dissemination of jamming signal was computed based on the random deployment of jammers. The jamming and defending methodologies were incorporated by the jammers and genuine users. The channel availability was analyzed when the genuine users randomly select the channels and jammers. A multi-tier based cooperative defense mechanism was utilized to explore the temporal and spatial diversity for the genuine users. Lasc et al (2011) developed an authentication and key agreement protocol against jamming attacks for mobile satellite communications. Mpitzopoulos et al (2009) proposed a Jamming.

Avoidance Itinerary Design (IAID) algorithm. It computes the optimal routes for mobile agents. Also computes the itineraries to bypass the jammed regions. The algorithm only modifies the pre-jamming area to improve the promptness, if the jammed nodes are small. Otherwise, the algorithm reconstructs the agent excluding the jammed areas.

Niu et al (2012) designed an anti-chirp jamming communication method based on cognitive cycle. The chirp jamming sensing module was developed to detect and identify the parameters of chirp jamming based on periodogram and kalman filter. The chirp jamming decision making component makes decision on transmission power and communication frequency. They were computed based on simple arithmetic computation. Ho et al (2012) discussed about the distributed detection of mobile malicious node attacks in WSN. A sequential hypothesis testing was applied to discover the nodes that are malicious. Sung et al introduced a neighbor based malicious node detection scheme for WSN. Each node includes the confidence and its neighbors confidence indicating the track records in reporting previous events appropriately. Ould et al (2012) presented a distributed fault tolerance for error detection across heterogeneous WSN. Warrich et al (2012) proposed a hybrid fault detection approach in WSN. Banerjee et al (2014) introduced a fault detection and replacement scheme.

1.1 OLSR based jamming attack detection mechanism:

This section describes about the proposed detection mechanism against selective jamming attacks. Fig.2. illustrates the flow of the proposed method for the detection of the selective jamming attacks.

The OLSR protocol is used to discover and maintain the stable link routing paths. After the routes are discovered, Error Tolerant Model (ETM) is applied to find the network includes any jammer node or not. If any jammer is present, then the route discovery procedure gets repeated until the path doesn’t contain any jammer node. Also, check for the malicious nodes based on the node behavior with the help of virtual watchdog timer. If any malicious node is detected, then the location of the node is estimated to block the malicious node for secure data transfer.

1.2 OLSR Protocol:

OLSR uses hello and topology control packets to establish and broadcast link state information throughout the sensor network. Hello packets are used for discovering the details about the link status message and host. TC messages are used for distributing information about advertising neighbors, it includes atleast multipoint relay selector list.
individual node can use this topology details to estimate the next hop destination for all nodes based on shortest hop forwarding paths. At each node determines 2 hop neighbor details based on hello message and makes a distributed election of a group of multipoint relays (MPR). The routing paths for TC packets is not mutual among all nodes but changes depend on the source.

![Diagram of the proposed detection mechanism]

Fig. 2: Structure of the proposed detection mechanism.

Multiple Interface Declaration (MID) messages can be used to inform the other host that announcing the host has many OLSR interface addresses. The main advantage of this protocol is, it does not need any central administrative scheme. Also, the flooding is minimized by MPRs. The suitable working environment for OLSR protocol is a condensed network. Here the communication is focused among a huge number of nodes. It reduces the control overhead pushing the MPR to disseminate the updates of the link state. It immediately knows the eminence of the link and it extends the quality of service.

1.3 Error Tolerance Model:

To tackle errors in WSN, the proposed model follows the following two steps:
1. Error detection: During the data transmission, if the specific functionality is faulty and spot it down to predict the function properly in the future transmission.
2. Error recovery: It deals with the system to recover from the faulty conditions.

Usually, there are two types of error detection techniques: one is self-diagnosis and the other one is cooperative diagnosis. Some of the errors can be detected by the sensor node itself. For instance, errors occurred due to the exhaustion of battery can be identified by the node itself. The residual energy of the battery can be calculated based on measuring the current battery voltage.

1.4 Generation of Hopping Sequence:

In order to defend the privacy of the control channel, the hopping sequence need to fulfill the following properties:
1. Independence; details of one sequence do not expose any details regarding another,
2. Knowledge of previous hops does not expose any details about the future ones;
3. High minimum hamming distance; during interpreted as code words. The sequence must have high hamming distance, hence the compromised node can be exclusively recognized.

1.5 Misbehavior detection:
Suppose that the network can potentially detect a particular type of misbehavior. It is likely that any such misbehavior detector has some false positives. As a result, it might not take action until it observes several repeated offenses by the same node. Watchdog device that detects faults and initiates corrective actions. Here, Watchdog virtual timer is used to monitor the nodes behavior. If any unauthorized or irrelevant behavior is sensed from the sensor nodes, then the location of the node is identified and blocked.

1.5.1 Identification of compromised nodes:

The compromised nodes are identified based on their assigned hopping sequences. When the control channel is denied, nodes start hopping based on their pre-assigned hopping order. If suppose the jammer negotiates one of the nodes \( d_j \), it can find the consistent hopping sequence \( a_j \). The unique order \( a_j \) discloses the uniqueness of the compromised node \( d_j \).

Algorithm 1:

1. Initialize
2. \( d(a_j, a_{jam}) = 0, \forall j \)
3. \( j=1; i=0; N\rightarrow \phi \)
4. while \( J \) is false do
5. for \( m=1, m\leq M, m++ \) do
6. if \( a_j(i) \) not jammed then
7. \( d(a_j, a_{jam}) = d(a_j, a_{jam})+1 \)
8. end if
9. if \( d(a_j, a_{jam}) < \frac{B-1}{B}m - \delta_m && m > h \) then
10. \( J\rightarrow true \)
11. \( N\rightarrow d \)
12. Break
13. else
14. \( i++ \)
15. end if
16. end for
17. if \( J \) is true then
18. break
19. else
20. \( j++ \)
21. end if
22. end while
23. return \( N \)

If the calculated hamming distance is below the estimated hamming distance, by a margin of \( \delta_m \), then the compromised node has been effectively detected. \( \delta_m \) is calculated based on the variance of the hamming distance, that is given by \( \frac{B-1}{B}m \). Here \( m \) is the number of slots observed. This process gets repeated in a round robin fashion. The estimated hamming distance \( H \{d (a_j, a_l)\} \) among two random sequences \( a_j \) and \( a_l \) as a function of their length \( M \) is

\[
E[d (a_j, a_l)] = \frac{B-1}{B}M
\]  

(1)

Based upon the above equation, the compromised nodes are successfully identified and removed from the data transmission.

1.2 Performance analysis:

In this section, the performance of the proposed OLSR based jamming attack prevention mechanism is presented and compared with the Preventing Selective Jamming Attack (PSJA) method without the OLSR protocol. The performance is validated based on the following metrics: packet drop, energy consumption, number of active nodes and packet received ratio.

1.2.1 Packet Loss Ratio:

Packet loss is the failure of one or more transmitted packets to arrive at their destination. The proposed OLSR-SJAD results lesser packet drop than the Preventing Selective Jamming Attack (PSJA) method without the OLSR protocol. It improves the packet delivery ratio and it yields the reliable system performance. It is achieved with the help of OLSR protocol in case of the link failure. The following formula is used to calculate the packet loss.

\[
Packet \ Loss = \frac{\text{number of packet sent} - \text{number of packet received}}{\text{number of packet sent}}
\]

(2)

If any node is detected as a malicious or jammer node, then the OLSR protocol can find another
trusted route to forward the data. Fig.3. shows the comparison of packet loss between the proposed OLSR-SJAD and the PSJA method.

![Graph showing packet drop comparison between OLSR-SJAD and PSJA](image)

**Fig. 3:** Analysis of packet drop between the proposed OLSR-JAD and existing PSJA method.

### 1.3. Energy Consumption Analysis:

The energy consumption by the network over a period of time is based on the following categories:

- Energy spent for sensing the channel,
- Energy spent throughout the transmission range,
- Energy spent during the reception phase and
- Energy spent for error detection and recovery.

Based upon the above categories, the overall energy consumption can be computed. Fig.4. shows the proposed OLSR-SJAD mechanism utilizes lesser energy usage than the existing PSJA method.

![Graph showing energy consumption comparison between OLSR-SJAD and PSJA](image)

**Fig. 4:** Analysis of energy consumption for data transfer between OLSR-JAD and PSJA method.

### 1.4. Packet Received Observation:

The active nodes must be selected cautiously so that the sensor nodes can work long adequate and reliable to forward the data from source to corresponding destination. The active nodes are selected based on their connectivity between their neighbor nodes and their residual energy. If a system is frequently reducing the active nodes, then it results high level of packet loss. But the proposed system maintains more number of active nodes throughout
the data transfer which is obviously shown in fig.5. Hence the proposed OLSR-SJAD yields better and scalable results than the existing methods.

![Fig. 5: Analysis of active node calculation between OLSR-JAD and PSJA method.](chart1)

The packet received ratio is the ratio of the number of delivered data packet to the destination. It explains the level of received data to the destination.

\[
\text{Packet received} = \frac{\sum \text{number of packet received}}{\sum \text{Number of packet sent}} \tag{3}
\]

The above equation is used to compute the packet received ratio. Fig.6. depicts the packet received rate analysis for the proposed and the existing method. It confirmed that the OLSR-SJAD yields higher packet received rate than the existing PSJA method.

![Fig.6: Analysis of number of packets received between OLSR-JAD and PSJA method.](chart2)

### Table 1: Analysis of OLSR-JAD Performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation metrics</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Packet delivery ratio</td>
<td>11.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average end to end delay</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of hops</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control packet overhead</td>
<td>21711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throughput</td>
<td>5944.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data packets sent</td>
<td>12101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data packets received</td>
<td>1431</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This paper addresses the problem of selective jamming over wireless sensor networks. The proposed model OLSR-SJAD mechanism uses the OLSR protocol for better link stability and route maintenance. An Error Tolerant Model is incorporated to efficiently identify the jammer device that selectively target the source and destination nodes. Also, the malicious nodes is identified based on the watch dog timer and the proposed algorithm. The experimental results guaranteed that the proposed model can identify the selective jamming attack and block the malicious nodes from the data transfer. The proposed method results better packet delivery ratio with reduced energy consumption and packet delay than the existing PSJA method.

In future, the proposed method is incorporated with an energy efficient and secure routing protocol to provide high level security.
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<td>2037.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of hops</td>
<td>3431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dropped reply messages</td>
<td>0</td>
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