



AENSI Journals

**Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences**

ISSN:1991-8178

Journal home page: [www.ajbasweb.com](http://www.ajbasweb.com)



## An Alternative Proof of the Spectral Radius Inequality for Products of Hilbert Space Operators

<sup>1</sup>Ghaleb Gumah, <sup>2</sup>Zuhier Altawallbeh, <sup>3</sup>Ayed Al e'damat, <sup>3</sup>Ali Atewi

<sup>1</sup>Applied Science Department, Faculty of Engineering Technology, Al-Balqa Applied University, Amman 11942, Jordan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Tafila Technical University, Tafila 66110, Jordan

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Hussein Bin Talal University, P.O. Box 20, Ma'an-Jordan

### ARTICLE INFO

**Article history:**

Received 20 September 2014

Received in revised form 8 November 2014

Accepted 21 November 2014

Available online 17 December 2014

**Keywords:**

Spectral radius, Norms of matrices, Operator norm, Bounded linear operator.

### ABSTRACT

In this paper, we present a new alternative proof of the spectral radius inequality theorem for products of Hilbert space operators due to F. Kittaneh, in which the spectral radius of  $A$  obtained by using the formula  $r(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A^n\|_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  represents any operator norm. Then, we use this alternative technique to prove some related results. Indeed, the spectral radius inequalities presented in this paper have diverse applications.

© 2014 AENSI Publisher All rights reserved.

**To Cite This Article:** Ghaleb Gumah, Zuhier Altawallbeh, Ayed Al e'damat, Ali Atewi., An Alternative Proof of the Spectral Radius Inequality for Products of Hilbert Space Operators. *Aust. J. Basic & Appl. Sci.*, 8(18): 462-465, 2014

## INTRODUCTION

Recently, the Gelfand formula (Gelfand, 1941) is treated as a commonly known fact and is mentioned in practically all textbooks on linear analysis. This formula plays an important role in various applications such as quantitative economics, theory of operator algebras, weighted digraphs, sensor networks and many others. As is known, the spectral radius of any matrix  $A$  can be expressed in terms of the norms of its powers  $\|A^n\|$  by the following Gelfand formula:

$$r(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A^n\|_n^{\frac{1}{n}}, \tag{1}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is a matrix norm.

In other words, the Gelfand's formula gives us that the spectral radius of  $A$  represent the asymptotic growth rate of the normalized norm of  $A^n$ :  $\|A^n\|_n^{\frac{1}{n}} \sim r(A)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Also, the normalized norm  $\|A^n\|_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$  can be used to approximate the spectral radius and in the limit for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  the two quantities coincide.

The paper is organized as follows. In Introduction, we presented the famous Gelfand formula for the spectral radius that has great importance in various mathematical constructions. In Section 2, basic facts, properties, inequalities and the relations between spectral radius and the other operator norms are introduced. In Section 3, the main result of the paper, Theorem 1, is formulated.

### 2. Preliminaries:

Let  $B(\mathcal{H})$  denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  be the eigenvalue of  $A$  in  $B(\mathcal{H})$ . The spectrum is the set, collection, of all eigenvalues of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\sigma(A)$ . For  $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ , the spectral radius of  $A$  is defined as the maximum of modulus of its eigenvalues, i.e.  $r(A) = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}$ . This is just a radius of the smallest disc centered at the origin in the complex plane that includes all the eigenvalues of  $A$ , so this formula yields a technique for estimating for the top eigenvalue.

**Corresponding Author:** Ghaleb N. Gumah, Applied Science Department, Faculty of Engineering Technology, Al-Balqa Applied University, Amman 11942, Jordan  
E-mail: [ghalebnaaser31@yahoo.com](mailto:ghalebnaaser31@yahoo.com)

Let us now recall basic relations between spectral radius and the other operator norms. We start with the following observations. For every  $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$  and any operator norm, it is well known that the spectral radius function is the greatest lower bound for the values of all operator norms of  $A$ , *i.e.*

$$r(A) \leq \|A\|, \quad (2)$$

and that the equality holds if  $A$  is normal (Halmos, 1982). On the other hand, let  $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an operator norm  $\|\cdot\|$  such that

$$\|A\| \leq r(A) + \varepsilon. \quad (3)$$

It is worth to mention that the spectral radius of  $A$  is not itself an operator norm, but if we let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in inequality (3) we have that  $r(A)$  is the greatest lower bound for the values of all operator norms of  $A$ , *i.e.*

$$r(A) = \inf_{\|\cdot\| \in \mathcal{N}} \|A\|, \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathcal{N}$  denotes the set of all possible induced operator norms.

Some further interesting and basic properties of the spectral radius are expressed in the following. From the spectral mapping theorem for polynomials (Kreyszig, 1978), we have

$$r(A^n) = (r(A))^n, \text{ for every positive integer } n. \quad (5)$$

Another consequence of  $\sigma(AB) = \sigma(BA)$ , the commutative property for every  $A, B \in B(\mathcal{H})$  is given by

$$r(AB) = r(BA). \quad (6)$$

Thus, from Gelfand's formula (1), for every  $A, B \in B(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $AB = BA$ , it is easy to see that

$$r(A + B) \leq r(A) + r(B), \quad (7)$$

and

$$r(AB) \leq r(A)r(B). \quad (8)$$

Furthermore, the fact that the spectral radius  $r(A)$  is contained in the spectrum implies

$$r(kI + A) = k + r(A), \text{ for all } k \geq 0, \quad (9)$$

and

$$r(kA) = kr(A), \text{ for all } k \geq 0, \quad (10)$$

where  $I$  denotes the identity operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Now, we will present other facts and inequalities about the spectral radius for future use.

For every  $A, B \in B(\mathcal{H})$ , one can get

$$r\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}\right) = \max(r(A), r(B)), \quad (11)$$

and

$$r\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \sqrt{r(AB)}. \quad (12)$$

In 1995, Hou and Du proved that for every  $A, B, C, D \in B(\mathcal{H})$ , we have the following inequalities

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \right\| \leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \|A\| & \|B\| \\ \|C\| & \|D\| \end{bmatrix} \right\|, \quad (13)$$

and

$$r\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq r\left(\begin{bmatrix} \|A\| & \|B\| \\ \|C\| & \|D\| \end{bmatrix}\right). \quad (14)$$

### 3. Main results:

The aim of this section is to obtain an alternative proof of the spectral radius inequality theorem for products of operators due to Kittaneh, 2005, where it is proved there based on the following inequality: if  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 \in B(\mathcal{H})$ , then

$$r(A_1B_1 + A_2B_2) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|B_1A_1\| + \|B_2A_2\| + \sqrt{(\|B_1A_1\| - \|B_2A_2\|)^2 + 4\|B_1A_2\|\|B_2A_1\|}).$$

Now, we present the main result and the proof of the theorem as follows.

#### Theorem 1:

If  $A, B \in B(\mathcal{H})$ , then

$$r(AB) \leq \frac{1}{4}(\|AB\| + \|BA\| + \sqrt{(\|AB\| - \|BA\|)^2 + 4\min(\|A\|\|BAB\|, \|B\|\|ABA\|)}), \quad (15)$$

#### Proof:

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2r(AB) &= r\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2AB & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad (\text{by property (11)}) \\ &= r\left(\begin{bmatrix} AB & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= r\left(\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} AB & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad (\text{by property (6)}) \\ &= r\left(\begin{bmatrix} AB & A \\ BAB & BA \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &\leq r\left(\begin{bmatrix} \|AB\| & \|A\| \\ \|BAB\| & \|BA\| \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad (\text{by inequality (14)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\|AB\| + \|BA\| + \sqrt{(\|AB\| - \|BA\|)^2 + 4\|A\|\|BAB\|}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$r(AB) \leq \frac{1}{4}(\|AB\| + \|BA\| + \sqrt{(\|AB\| - \|BA\|)^2 + 4\|A\|\|BAB\|}). \quad (16)$$

Meanwhile, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2r(AB) &= 2r(BA) = r\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2BA & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad (\text{by property (11)}) \\ &= r\left(\begin{bmatrix} BA & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= r\left(\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} BA & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad (\text{by property (6)}) \\ &= r\left(\begin{bmatrix} BA & B \\ ABA & AB \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &\leq r\left(\begin{bmatrix} \|BA\| & \|B\| \\ \|ABA\| & \|AB\| \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad (\text{by inequality (14)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\|BA\| + \|AB\| + \sqrt{(\|BA\| - \|AB\|)^2 + 4\|B\|\|ABA\|}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$r(AB) \leq \frac{1}{4}(\|BA\| + \|AB\| + \sqrt{(\|BA\| - \|AB\|)^2 + 4\|B\|\|ABA\|}). \quad (17)$$

Thus, from the inequalities (16) and (17), we have inequality (15) directly. This completes the proof of the theorem.

#### Remark 1:

We remark that Kittaneh in 2005 used the facts

$$2r(AB) = r\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2AB & 0 \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right), \quad (18)$$

and

$$2r(BA) = r\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2BA & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right), \quad (19)$$

to prove the main result, for more details see "Problem 71" in (Halmos, 1982). Here, we give another easier facts to prove the same result.

**Corollary 1:**

If  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in B(\mathcal{H})$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & r(A_1 A_2 \dots A_n) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4} (\|A_1 A_2 \dots A_n\| + \|A_2 A_3 \dots A_n A_1\|) \\
 & + \frac{1}{4} ((\|A_1 A_2 \dots A_n\| - \|A_2 A_3 \dots A_n A_1\|)^2 \\
 & + 4 \min(\|A_1\| \|A_2 A_3 \dots A_n A_1 A_2 A_3 \dots A_n\|, \|A_2 A_3 \dots A_n\| \|A_1 A_2 \dots A_n A_1\|))^{\frac{1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

**Proof:**

The inequality (20) follows from Theorem 1 by letting  $A_1 = A$  and  $A_2 A_3 \dots A_n = B$ .

**Corollary 2:**

If  $A, B \in B(\mathcal{H})$  and  $AB = BA$ , then

$$r(AB) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \|AB\| + \sqrt{\min(\|A\| \|AB^2\|, \|B\| \|BA^2\|)} \right). \tag{21}$$

It is pertinent to note that the spectral radius inequality for products of operators presented in this paper has widely applications. For instance, it can be useful to obtain new bounds for the zeros of monic polynomials and to other bound, for more details see (Kittaneh, 2003 a and b; Kittaneh and Shebrawi, 2007; Horn and Zhang, 2010) and the references therein. It is hoped that further research will actually bear this out.

**REFERENCES**

- Dvorak, Z. and B. Mohar, 2010. Spectral radius of finite and infinite planar graphs and of graphs of bounded genus. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 100: 729-739.
- Drnovsek, R. and A. Peperko, 2011. On the spectral radius of positive operators on Banach sequence spaces, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 435: 902-907.
- Gelfand, I., 1941. Normierteringe. *Rec. Math. Mat. Sbornik N.S.* 9(51): 3-24.
- Halmos, P. R., 1982. *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Hou, J.C. and H.K. Du, 1995. Norm inequalities of positive operator matrices. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 22: 281-294.
- Horn, R.A. and F. Zhang, 2010. Bounds on the spectral radius of a Hadamard product of nonnegative or positive semidefinite matrices. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 20: 90-94.
- Huang, Z., 2011. On the spectral radius and the spectral norm of Hadamard products of nonnegative matrices. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 434: 457-462.
- Ho, M.C., 2003. A rough estimate for the spectral radius of the sampling operator. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 375: 51-61.
- Jury, M.T., 2008. Norms and spectral radii of linear fractional composition operators on the ball. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 254: 2387-2400.
- Komashynska, I. and M. Al-Smadi, 2014. Iterative Reproducing Kernel Method for Solving Second-Order Integrodifferential Equations of Fredholm Type. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, Article ID 459509, 11.
- Kittaneh, F., 2005. Spectral radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 134: 385-390.
- Kreyszig, E., 1978. *Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications*. John Wiley and Sons. Inc.
- Kittaneh, F. and K. Shebrawi, 2007. Bounds for the zeros of polynomials from matrix inequalities-II, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 55: 147-158.
- Kittaneh, F., 2003. Bounds for the zeros of polynomials from matrix inequalities. *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 81: 147-158.
- Kittaneh, F., 2003. A numerical radius inequality and an estimate for the numerical radius of the Frobenius companion matrix. *Studia Math.*, 158: 11-17.
- Li, C., H. Wang and P. Van Mieghem, 2012. Bounds for the spectral radius of a graph when nodes are removed. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 437: 319-323.
- Martin, M.J. and D. Vukotic, 2005. Norms and spectral radii of composition operators acting on the Dirichlet space. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications.*, 304: 22-32.
- Peperko, A., 2009. Inequalities for the spectral radius of non-negative functions. *Positivity*, 13: 255-272.