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#### Abstract

In the present paper, we study stability of the dynamical system corresponding quantum Markov chain (QMC) associated with the Ising model on Cayley tree of order two. To study certain properties of QMC we reduce our investigation to the study of dynamics of a nonlinear dynamical system. For such a dynamical system it is proved existence of exactly three fixed points and absence of periodic points. Moreover, it is established finiteness and infiniteness of the trajectory of the system.


Key words: Cayley tree, quantum Markov chain, Ising model, stability of the dynamical system.

## INTRODUCTION

It is know that Markov fields play an important role in classical probability, in physics, in biological and neurological models and in an increasing number of technological problems such as image recognition. Therefore, it is quite natural to forecast that the quantum analogue of these models will also play a relevant role. The quantum analogues of Markov processes were first constructed in (Accardi, L., 1975), where the notion of quantum Markov chain on infinite tensor product algebras was introduced. Nowadays, quantum Markov chains have become a standard computational tool in solid state physics, and several natural applications have emerged in quantum statistical mechanics and quantum information theory. The reader is referred to (Accardi, L., F. Fidaleo, 2003; Accardi, L., F. Fidaleo, 2003; Fidaleo, F., F. Mukhamedov, 2004; Fukui, Y., T. Horiguchi, 2000) and the references cited therein, for recent developments of the theory and the applications.

A first attempts to construct a quantum analogue of classical Markov fields has been done in (Accardi, L., F. Fidaleo, 2003; Accardi, L., F. Fidaleo, 2003; Accardi, L., V. Liebscher, 1999; Fidaleo, F., F. Mukhamedov, 2004). These papers extend to fields the notion of quantum Markov state introduced in (Accardi, L., A. Frigerio, 1983) as a sub-class of the quantum Markov chains introduced in (Accardi, L., 1975). Typically a system is identified to a point in a graph: if this graph is not isomorphic to an interval in (1-dimensional case). The crucial role of the localization is at the root of the difficulties to construct nontrivial examples of Markov fields. Gaussian states (quasi-free, in the physics terminology) also have a simple structure, but they do not describe physically interesting interactions. Note that in mentioned papers quantum Markov fields were considered over multidimensional integer lattice. This lattice has so called amenability condition. Therefore, it is natural to investigate quantum Markov fields over non-amenable lattices. One of the simplest non-amenable lattices is a Cayley tree. First attempts to investigate Quantum Makov chains over such trees was done in (Affleck, L., 1988), such studies were related to investigate thermodynamic limit of valence-bond-solid models on a Cayley tree (Fannes, M., 1992). The mentioned considerations naturally suggest the study of the following problem: the extension to fields the notion of generalized Markov chain. In the present paper using the construction of Quantum Markov Chains (QMC) defined on the Cayley tree of order two which is given in (Accardi, L.,) we obtain a nonlinear dynamical system related to Ising model. Our main goal is to study an asymptotical behavior of the obtained nonlinear dynamical system. To do this, we find all fixed point of the dynamical system and show an absence of periodic points. After this, we investigate the stability of the fixed points.

## Fixed and Periodic Points of the Dynamical System:

Using the methods of (Accardi, L.,) we reduce the investigation of the quantum Markov chain asso ciated
with the Ising model on the Cayley tree of order two to the following dynamical system $f: R_{+}^{2} \rightarrow R_{+}^{2}$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime}=\frac{2 \theta \sqrt{x}-2 \sqrt{y}}{\theta^{2}-1}  \tag{1}\\
y^{\prime}=\frac{-2 \sqrt{x}+2 \theta \sqrt{y}}{\theta^{2}-1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\beta>0, \quad \theta=\exp 2 \beta, \quad$ and $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right):=f(x, y)$.
The following function $g_{\theta}: R \rightarrow R$
$g_{\theta}(t)=\frac{\theta \sqrt{t}-1}{\theta-\sqrt{t}}$
helps to study asymptotical behavior of the dynamical system (1). One can see that the domain $D$ of the function $g_{\theta}: R \rightarrow R$ is $\left[0, \theta^{2}\right) \cup\left(\theta^{2}, \infty\right)$.

One can easily show the following property of the function $g_{\theta}: D \rightarrow R$.

## Proposition 1:

Let $g_{\theta}: D \rightarrow R$ be a function given by (2). Then the following assertions hold true:

- If $\theta>3$ then $g_{\theta}$ has three fixed points, i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{Fix}\left(g_{\theta}\right)=\left\{1, t_{ \pm}=\left(\frac{\theta-1 \pm \sqrt{(\theta-3)(\theta+1)}}{2}\right)^{2}\right\}
$$

- If $1<\theta \leq 3$ then $g_{\theta}$ has one fixed point, i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{Fix}\left(g_{\theta}\right)=\{1\} ;
$$

- If $\theta>3$ then $g_{\theta}(t)<t$ for any $t \in\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{2}}, t_{-}\right) \cup\left(1, t_{+}\right)$and $g_{\theta}(t)>t$ for any $t \in\left(t_{-}, 1\right) \cup\left(t_{+}, \theta^{2}\right)$;
- If $1<\theta \leq 3$ then $g_{\theta}(t)<t \quad$ for any $t \in\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{2}}, 1\right)$ and $g_{\theta}(t)>t$ for any $t \in\left(1, \theta^{2}\right)$;
- $g_{\theta}$ is a strictly increasing function;
- $\quad g_{\theta}$ does not have any $k$ periodic points;
- $g_{\theta}$ is positive if and only if $t \in\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{2}}, \theta^{2}\right)$.

Let us study an asymptotical behavior of the function $g_{\theta}: D \rightarrow R$.

## Proposition 2:

Let $g_{\theta}: D \rightarrow R$ be a function given by (2) and $\theta>3$. Then the following assertions hold true:

- If $t_{0} \in\left(t_{-}, t_{+}\right)$then the trajectory $\left\{g_{\theta}^{(n)}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, starting from the point $t_{0}$, converges to the fixed point which is equal to one;
- If $t_{0} \in\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{2}}, t_{-}\right) \cup\left(t_{+}, \theta^{2}\right)$ then the trajectory $\left\{g_{\theta}^{(n)}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \quad$ starting from the point $t_{0}$, is finite.


## Sketch of the Proof:

Let us consider the case when $t_{0} \in\left(t_{-}, t_{+}\right)$. Since the function $g_{\theta}$ is strictly increasing and $\frac{1}{\theta^{2}}<t_{-}<1<t_{+}<\theta^{2}$, then the segment $\left[t_{-}, t_{+}\right]$is an invariant with respect to $g_{\theta}$ and $g_{\theta}(t)>0$ for any $t \in\left(t_{-}, t_{+}\right)$. Therefore, one can consider the trajectory $\left\{g_{\theta}^{(n)}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, starting from the point $t_{0}$ and it is infinite.

Without loss any generality, we may assume that $t_{0} \in\left(t_{-}, 1\right)$. Then, according to Proposition 1 , we have $g_{\theta}\left(t_{0}\right)>t_{0}$. Invariance of the segment $\left[t_{-}, 1\right]$ with respect to $g_{\theta}$, yields monotonicity of the sequence $t_{-}<t_{0}<g_{\theta}\left(t_{0}\right)<g_{\theta}^{(2)}\left(t_{0}\right)<\cdots<g_{\theta}^{n}\left(t_{0}\right)<\cdots<1$

Hence, $\left\{g_{\theta}^{(n)}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent, and continuity of $g_{\theta}\left[t_{-}, 1\right]$ implies that the limit of the sequence $\left\{g_{\theta}^{(n)}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a fixed point, which is equal to 1. Analogously, one can show that if $t_{0} \in\left(1, t_{+}\right)$then the trajectory $\left\{g_{\theta}^{(n)}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, starting from the point $t_{0}$, is monotone decreasing and it converges to fixed point which is equal to one.

Let us consider the case when $t_{0} \in\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{2}}, t_{-}\right) \cup\left(t_{+}, \theta^{2}\right)$. Without loss any generality, we assume that $t_{0} \in\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{2}}, t_{-}\right)$. We will show that the trajectory $\left\{g_{\theta}^{(n)}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, starting from the point $t_{0}$, is finite. Suppose the contrary, that is, the trajectory $\left\{g_{\theta}^{(n)}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, is infinite. Then according to Proposition 1 we have $g_{\theta}^{(n)}\left(t_{0}\right)>\frac{1}{\theta^{2}}$
for any $n \in N$. On the other hand, due to Proposition 1 we have the following monotone decreasing sequence $t_{-}>t_{0}>g_{\theta}\left(t_{0}\right)>g_{\theta}^{(2)}\left(t_{0}\right)>\cdots>g_{\theta}^{(n)}\left(t_{0}\right)>\cdots$

It follows from (3) and (4) that the sequence $\left\{g_{\theta}^{(n)}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges. Since $g_{\theta}$ is the continuous function on the segment $\left[\frac{1}{\theta^{2}}, t_{-}\right]$, then limit of the sequence $\left\{g_{\theta}^{(n)}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ should be fixed point which is less than $t_{-}$. But this is a contradiction, because the function $g_{\theta}$ does not have any fixed which is less than $t_{-}$(see Proposition 1). This completes the proof.

Analogously, one can prove the following proposition.

## Proposition 3:

Let $g_{\theta}: D \rightarrow R$ be a function given by (2) and $1<\theta \leq 3$. For any initial point $t_{0} \in\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{2}}, \theta^{2}\right)$ the trajectory $\left\{g_{\theta}^{(n)}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, starting from the point $t_{0}$, is finite.
By means Proposition 1, one can easily prove the following property of the dynamical system $f: R_{+}^{2} \rightarrow R_{+}^{2}$ given by (1).

## Theorem 1:

Let $f: R_{+}^{2} \rightarrow R_{+}^{2} \quad$ be a dynamical system $\quad$ be given by (1). Then the following assertions hold true:

- If $\theta>3$ then $f$ has three fixed points which are equal to $\left(A_{k} B_{k}, B_{k}^{2}\right)$, where
$A_{k}=\frac{2 \theta \sqrt{t_{k}}-2}{\theta^{2}-1}, B_{k}=\frac{2 \theta-2 \sqrt{t_{k}}}{\theta^{2}-1}, k=1,2,3$,
and $t_{1}=1, \quad t_{2}=t_{-}, t_{3}=t_{+}$;
- If $1<\theta \leq 3$ then $f$ has one fixed point which is equal to $\left(A_{1} B_{1}, B_{1}^{2}\right)$.
- $f$ does not have any $k$ periodic points.


## Stability of Fixed Points:

Let us study an asymptotical behavior of the dynamical system $f: R_{+}^{2} \rightarrow R_{+}^{2}$ given by (1).
It is clear that the dynamical system (1) is well defined if and only if $x, y \geq 0$ and $\frac{1}{\theta^{2}} y \leq x \leq \theta^{2} y$.

## Proposition 4:

Let $f: R_{+}^{2} \rightarrow R_{+}^{2} \quad$ be a dynamical system be given by (1). Then the following assertions hold true:

- If $\theta>3$ then $f$ has three invariant lines $l_{k}$ which are defined by $y=\frac{x}{t_{k}}, k=1,2,3$, where $t_{1}=1$, $t_{2}=t_{-}, \quad t_{3}=t_{+} ;$
- If $1<\theta \leq 3$ then $f$ has one invariant line $l_{1}$ which is defined by $y=\frac{x}{t_{1}}$.


## Sketch of the Proof:

It follows from (1) that if $t_{k}$ is a fixed point of the function $g_{\theta}$ then $y=\frac{x}{t_{k}} \quad$ yields $\quad y^{\prime}=\frac{x^{\prime}}{t_{k}} \quad$ Therefore, if $\theta>3$ then the dynamical system $f$ has three invariant lines $l_{k}$ which are defined by $y=\frac{x}{t_{k}}, \quad k=1,2,3$, and if $1<\theta \leq 3$ then the dynamical system $f$ has one invariant line $l_{1}$ which is defined by $y=\frac{x}{t_{1}}$.

## Theorem 2:

Let $f: R_{+}^{2} \rightarrow R_{+}^{2} \quad$ be a dynamical system be given by (1) and $\theta>3$. Then the following assertions hold true:

- If an initial point $\left(x^{0}, y^{0}\right)$ belongs to an invariant line $l_{k}$ (where $k=1,2,3$ ) of the dynamical system $f: R_{+}^{2} \rightarrow R_{+}^{2}$ then the trajectory $\left\{f^{(n)}\left(x^{0}, y^{0}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, starting from the point $\left(x^{0}, y^{0}\right)$, converges to a fixed point $\left(A_{k} B_{k}, B_{k}^{2}\right)$ belonging to the line $l_{k}$;
- If an initial point $\left(x^{0}, y^{0}\right)$ satisfies the following condition $\frac{x^{0}}{y^{0}} \in\left(t_{-}, 1\right) \cup\left(1, t_{+}\right)$then the trajectory $\left\{f^{(n)}\left(x^{0}, y^{0}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, starting from the point $\left(x^{0}, y^{0}\right)$, converges to a fixed point $\left(A_{1} B_{1}, B_{1}^{2}\right)$ belonging to $l_{1}$;
- If an initial point $\left(x^{0}, y^{0}\right)$ satisfies the following condition $\frac{x^{0}}{y^{0}} \in\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{2}}, t_{-}\right) \cup\left(t_{+}, \theta^{2}\right)$ then the trajectory $\left\{f^{(n)}\left(x^{0}, y^{0}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, starting from the point $\left(x^{0}, y^{0}\right)$, is finite.


## Sketch of the Proof:

Let us consider the case when an initial point $\left(x^{0}, y^{0}\right)$ belongs to an invariant line $l_{k}$ of the dynamical system $f: R_{+}^{2} \rightarrow R_{+}^{2}$. We then have
$\frac{x^{(n)}}{y^{(n)}}=t_{k}$,
for any $n \in N$, where $\left(x^{(n)}, y^{(n)}\right):=f^{(n)}\left(x^{0}, y^{0}\right)$. In other words, one gets
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}x^{(n+1)}=A_{k} \sqrt{y^{(n)}} \\ y^{(n+1)}=B_{k} \sqrt{y^{(n)}} .\end{array}\right.$
One can see that
$\left\{\begin{array}{c}x^{(n+1)}=\underbrace{A_{k} \sqrt{B_{k} \sqrt{B_{k} \cdots \sqrt{B_{k}}}}}_{n+1} \sqrt[2]{n^{n+1}} \sqrt{y^{0}}=A_{k} B_{k}^{\frac{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}}{1-\frac{1}{2}}} \sqrt[2^{n+1}]{y^{0}} \\ y^{(n+1)}=\underbrace{B_{k} \sqrt{B_{k} \sqrt{B_{k} \cdots \sqrt{B_{k}}}}}_{n+1} \sqrt[2^{n+1}]{y^{0}}=B_{k}^{\frac{1-\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}}{1-\frac{1}{2}}} \sqrt[2^{n+1}]{y^{0}} .\end{array}\right.$
Therefore, we obtain
$\left\{\begin{array}{c}x^{(n+1)}=A_{k} B_{k} \sqrt[n^{n+1}]{y^{0} B_{k}} \\ y^{(n+1)}=B_{k}^{22^{n+1}} \sqrt{y^{0} B_{k}} .\end{array}\right.$
Consequence, the sequence $\left\{\left(x^{(n)}, y^{(n)}\right)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to the fixed point $\left(A_{k} B_{k}, B_{k}^{2}\right)$ which belongs to the invariant line $l_{k}$.

Let us consider the case when an initial point $\left(x^{0}, y^{0}\right)$ satisfies the following condition $\frac{x^{0}}{y^{0}} \in\left(t_{-}, 1\right) \cup\left(1, t_{+}\right)$.

It follows from (1) that
$\frac{x^{(n+1)}}{y^{(n+1)}}=g\left(\frac{x^{(n)}}{y^{(n)}}\right)$.

According to Proposition 2, the sequence $\left\{\frac{x^{(n)}}{y^{(n)}}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to the fixed point $t_{1}=1$. Taking
$g\left(\frac{x^{(n)}}{y^{(n)}}\right)=a_{n}, b_{n}=\frac{2 \theta-2 \sqrt{a_{n}}}{\theta^{2}-1}, c_{n}=\frac{2 \theta \sqrt{a_{n}}-2}{\theta^{2}-1}$,
we then have
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}x^{(n+1)}=c_{n} \sqrt{y^{(n)}} \\ y^{(n+1)}=b_{n} \sqrt{y^{(n)}} .\end{array}\right.$
This means
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}x^{(n+1)}=\underbrace{c_{n} \sqrt{b_{n-1} \sqrt{b_{n-2} \cdots \sqrt{b_{0}}}}}_{n+1} \sqrt[2^{n+1}]{y^{0}} \\ y^{(n+1)=} \underbrace{b_{n} \sqrt{b_{n-1} \sqrt{b_{n-2} \cdots \sqrt{b_{0}}}}}_{n+1} \sqrt[2^{n+1}]{y^{0}} .\end{array}\right.$
The following Lemma is useful for calculating the limit point of the sequence $\left\{\left(x^{(n)}, y^{(n)}\right)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

## Lemma:

If $b_{n}>0$ for any $n \in N$ and the sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to $b>0$ then the sequence
$d_{n}=\underbrace{b_{n} \sqrt{b_{n-1} \sqrt{b_{n-2} \cdots \sqrt{b_{0}}}}}_{n+1}$
converges to $b^{2}$.
We know that
$a_{n} \rightarrow 1, b_{n} \rightarrow B_{1}, c_{n} \rightarrow A_{1}$.

Then, according to Lemma, the sequence $\left\{\left(x^{(n)}, y^{(n)}\right)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to $\left(A_{1} B_{1}, B_{1}^{2}\right)$ which belongs to an invariant line $l_{1}$.

Let us consider the case when an initial point $\left(x^{0}, y^{0}\right)$ satisfies the following condition
$\frac{x^{0}}{y^{0}} \in\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{2}}, t_{-}\right) \cup\left(t_{+}, \theta^{2}\right)$.
It follows from (1) that
$\frac{x^{(n+1)}}{y^{(n+1)}}=g\left(\frac{x^{(n)}}{y^{(n)}}\right)$.

According to Proposition 2, the sequence $\left\{\frac{x^{(n)}}{y^{(n)}}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is finite. Therefore, the sequence $\left\{\left(x^{(n)}, y^{(n)}\right)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$
should be finite. This completes the proof. By means Proposition 3, analogously, one can prove the following result.

## Theorem 3:

Let $f: R_{+}^{2} \rightarrow R_{+}^{2} \quad$ be a dynamical system be given by (1) and $1<\theta \leq 3$. Then the following assertions hold true:

- If an initial point $\left(x^{0}, y^{0}\right)$ belongs to an invariant line $l_{1}$ of the dynamical system $f: R_{+}^{2} \rightarrow R_{+}^{2}$ then the trajectory $\left\{f^{(n)}\left(x^{0}, y^{0}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, starting from the point $\left(x^{0}, y^{0}\right)$; converges to a fixed point $\left(A_{1} B_{1}, B_{1}^{2}\right)$ which belongs to an invariant line $l_{1}$;
- If an initial point $\left(x^{0}, y^{0}\right)$ satisfies the following condition $\frac{x^{0}}{y^{0}} \in\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{2}}, 1\right) \cup\left(1, \theta^{2}\right)$ then the trajectory $\left\{f^{(n)}\left(x^{0}, y^{0}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, starting from the point $\left(x^{0}, y^{0}\right)$, is finite.
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