

Phyto-availability of Lead to Fluted Pumpkin (*Telfairia Occidentalis Hook F*) in an Ultisol

Orhue, Ehi Robert and Uzu Frank

Department of Soil Science Faculty of Agriculture University of Benin P.M.B. 1154 Benin City,
Edo State, Nigeria

Abstract: Pot and field trials were conducted at the Faculty of Agriculture, University of Benin to determine the influence of Pb on some agronomic characters of *Telfairia occidentalis* and some chemical properties of soil. Completely randomized and randomized completely block designs were used in greenhouse and field trials respectively. In the greenhouse trial, lead nitrate ($Pb(NO_3)_2$) was applied at rates of 0, 50, 100 and 200 mg per 5 kg sieved and air-dried soil obtained from a depth of 0-15cm. The pot rates equivalent to 0, 20, 40 and 80 $kg\ ha^{-1}$ were used in the field trial. Results indicated that the soil used was texturally sandy loam and an ultisol as demonstrated by its low base saturation. The pH, organic carbon, Effective Cation Exchange Capacity (ECEC), Exchangeable acidity, N, K, Mg, Ca, Na, Fe, Mn, Zn, free Fe and Al oxides, Amorphous Fe and Al oxides content of the soil decreased inconsistently. The organic carbon however increased in the field while the available P appreciated in the entire trials. The Pb content of the soil increased with the levels of Pb treatments when compared with the control throughout the trials. The N, P, K, Mg, Ca, Na, Fe, Mn and Zn content of shoot and root as well as their uptake also decreased consistently with increasing Pb treatments. In addition, the Pb content as well as uptake by the shoot and root also increased consistently with increased rates of the Pb applied in the trials with the minimum levels of the Pb content and uptake recorded at the control treatments. The crude protein content decreased with increased heavy metal treatments in both root and shoot with the control having the highest crude protein content. The highest crude protein percentage was recorded in the shoot compared to the root. A decrease in the dry matter yield with increased Pb treatments in shoot and root was recorded in the trials. Results also showed that the Pb influenced the height, collar girth, leaf area and number of leaves with control treatments higher than other treatments at final harvest. The manifestation of anthocyanin pigmentation in 200 mg Pb and 80 $kg\ Pb\ ha^{-1}$ treatments revealed the negative influence of the Pb on the phosphorus uptake by *Telfaria occidentalis*.

Key words: Phyto-availability, heavy metal, uptake, ultisol, metal excluder, pumpkin

INTRODUCTION

Lead (Pb) is one of those heavy metals that are potentially toxic to plants and animals at low concentration. This metal in addition to its natural existence has been elevated in the environment by anthropogenic activities such as metal rich mine tailings, metal smelting, electroplating, battery recycling, wood treating, fuel burning and fuel production, downwash from power lines, intensive agriculture and sludge dumping (Moffat, 1995; Forstner, 1995). The major recipient of this metal is the soil. The lead is not very mobile in the soil and very little of it leach through the soil profiles. Khan and Frankland (1983) observed Pb is removed from the soil by plants than was leached through the soil profile.

The phyto toxicity of Pb depends on the concentration, type of salts and plant species involved (Azmat and Haider, 2007). Godbold and Kettner (1991) reported that though effects of Pb are more prominent at higher concentration and duration, in some cases, lower concentration might influence various metabolic processes in plants. Haider *et al* (2006) reported that Pb affects seed germination, growth of seedlings and photosynthesis of *Phaseolus vulgaris*. It has also been observed that at higher concentration of 600ppm Pb the biomass production and chlorophyll concentration decreased to level below the control in *Phaseolus vulgaris*, alf-alfa (*Medicago sativa*), avena (*Avena sativa*) and rye grass (*Lolium multiflorun*) plants (Pinero *et al*, 2002).

Corresponding Author: Orhue, Ehi Robert, Department of Soil Science Faculty of Agriculture University of Benin P.M.B. 1154 Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria
E-mail: orhuerob@yahoo.com

Keresan *et al* (2001) found reduced protein and nitrogen components of young pea plant and that the accumulation of Pb in plants increased with increase in the level of Pb application. Eun *et al* (2002) recorded a decrease in Ca, Fe and Zn content of root tips of maize and significant reduction in Ca, Fe, Mn and Zn uptake by *Phaseolus vulgaris* (Geeblen *et al* 2002) due to increase in the application of Pb. The cultivation of crops in Pb polluted soils and subsequent consumption is one of the ways of introducing Pb into the food chain. Regular consumption of crops laden with heavy metals leads to bioaccumulation in the body in an unchanged state and are continuously accumulated during the life of an organism causing bio-magnification (Clark, 1995).

The fluted pumpkin leaves and seeds are highly nutritive and widely consumed in tropical Africa. The aim of this work were to determine the influence of Pb on some agronomic characters of the plant, determine parts of the crop with the highest ability to accumulate Pb, determine the effects of Pb on some mineral content as well as their uptake and determine Pb influence on some chemical properties of the soil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site of the Trial:

The greenhouse and field trials were conducted at the Faculty of Agriculture, University of Benin, Benin City., Nigeria

Greenhouse Trial:

In the greenhouse study, soil sample was collected from surface 0-15cm depth of soil. The soil collected was bulked, mixed thoroughly, air dried and sieved to remove debris. Thereafter, 5 kg of the composite soil was weighed and put in each of the plastic pots. In this trial the total number of plastic pots used was 48. Each plastic pot labeled for the various treatments. Each replicate had 16 plastic pots with 4 pots per treatment. The lead nitrate (Pb (NO₃)₂) used was applied at 0, 50, 100, 200 mg per 5 kg soil. The applied heavy metal was thoroughly mixed with the soil and then left for 7 days to enable the heavy metal equilibrate with the soil. The experiment was laid out in a completely randomized design with three replicates. Before transplanting the seedlings, the soil was moistened to field capacity with distilled water. The plants were watered with distilled water throughout the period of the crop growth. Excess moisture drainage from perforation at the base of each pot was collected by a saucer placed below each pot to prevent leaching into the soil and cross contamination among pots. The plant height, number of leaves, stem girth and leaf area were taken every 10-day intervals till final harvest at 30 days after transplanting when the above-ground biomass was clipped at soil level with stainless steel blade to separate the roots and then carefully rinsed in distilled water. Both the roots and above-ground biomass was oven dried in a ventilated oven at 72°C for 48 hrs to constant dry weight used in computing the nutrient uptake.

The plant height was determined by measuring from soil level to the tip of the topmost leaf. The height of the 4 plants in a treatment was taken and mean computed. The number of leaves of all the fully opened leaves per plant was counted in a treatment and the mean taken and the collar girth was determined with a measuring tape using the entire plant in a treatment. The leaf area was determined using the grid-perpex method in which a calibrated (1cm x 1cm) transparent paper was placed on the leaf and space occupied by the leaf was computed to give the entire leaf area. For leaf area determination, the entire opened leaves per plant were used.

Field Trial:

The field trial was conducted in order to validate results obtained under greenhouse conditions. This field trial was sited where the soil for greenhouse trial was taken. The same heavy metal source as well as levels (0, 50,100,200 mg per 5 kg soil) equivalent to 0, 20, 40, 80 kg Pbha⁻¹ were used. Each treatment was represented by a bed size of 2.5 m x 2.5 m separated by 50 cm space while each replicate was separated by 1m alley. The entire experimental site was 12 m x 10 m giving a total area of 120 m² The various levels (0, 20, 40, 80 kg Pbha⁻¹) of the heavy metal were uniformly applied with the aid of a spreader, mixed thoroughly and then left for 7 days before transplanting the seedlings. The experiment was organized in randomized complete block design in three replicates. The pumpkin was sown at a spacing of 1m x 1m. Each bed had a plant population of 4 plants. Hand weeding was done regularly. The mode of data collection was similar to that of greenhouse trial.

Soil samples were collected at the beginning and at the end of the trials to determine the following. The soil pH was determined at a soil to water ratio of 1:1 using a glass electrode pH meter. Particle size analysis

was determined by the hydrometer method as modified by Day (1965). The organic carbon content of the soil was determined by using the chromic acid wet oxidation procedure as described by Jackson (1962). The nitrogen was determined by micro-kjeldal procedure as described by Jackson (1962) The protein contents were determined using the method of Azmat and Haider, (2007). Phosphorus was extracted by using Bray No. 1 P solution (Bray and Kurtz 1945) and the P in the extract assayed colorimetrically by the molybdenum blue colour method of Murphy and Riley (1962). The exchangeable bases were extracted using IN neutral ammonium acetate solution Ca and Mg content of the extract were determined volumetrically by the EDTA titration procedure (Black, 1965). The K and Na were determined by flame photometry and magnesium content obtained by difference. The exchangeable acidity was determined by KCl extraction and titration methods of Mclean (1965). The effective cation exchange capacity was calculated as the sum of exchangeable bases (Ca, Mg, K, and Na) and exchangeable acidity. The Pb and oxides were determined by methods of Soon and Abboud (1993). The data generated were analyzed by Genstat statistical version 6.1.0.234. (Payne, 2002).

Plant Analysis:

The plant materials were ground (< 1 mm) and then digested with a mixture of HNO₃, H₂SO₄ and HClO₄ acids (IITA, 1979). The mineral ions (Na, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Zn and Pb) were determined by the use of atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS UNICAM 969). For P content (A. O. A. C, 1970) perchloric acid digestion (wet oxidation) method was used while the micro-kjeldal method of Jackson (1962) was used for N determination.

Results:

Properties of the Soil Before the Trials:

The soil properties before the trials are shown in Table 1. The soil pH is acidic and texturally sandy loam with percentage base saturation less than 35%. The soil contains N, P, K, Mg, Ca, Na, Fe, Mn, Zn and low Pb components.

Table 1: Physico-chemical properties of the soil used in the trials

Properties	Greenhouse Value	Field value
pH(1:1) (soil:water)	4.71	5.64
Organic carbon (gkg ⁻¹)	11.1	10.0
Total N (gkg ⁻¹)	1.3	1.6
Av P(mgkg ⁻¹)	3.19	5.76
Ca cmol kg ⁻¹	0.96	0.97
Mg cmol kg ⁻¹	0.64	0.66
K cmol kg ⁻¹	0.11	0.13
Na cmol kg ⁻¹	0.12	0.20
Exch Acidity cmol kg ⁻¹	3.58	2.68
ECEC cmolkg ⁻¹	5.41	3.93
Base saturation (%)	33.83	31.81
Free Fe Oxides %	6.38	6.40
Free Al Oxides %	1.73	1.21
Amorphous Fe oxide %	0.07	0.08
Amorphous Al oxide %	0.03	0.03
Pb mgkg ⁻¹	0.3	0.04
Fe mgkg ⁻¹	0.03	0.04
Mn mgkg ⁻¹	0.05	0.05
Zn mgkg ⁻¹	0.65	0.67
Sand gkg ¹	865.31	864.32
Silt gkg ¹	12.39	14.37
Clay gkg ¹	122.30	121.31
Textural class	Sandy loam	Sandy loam

Properties of the Soil after the Trials:

Table 2 shows the properties of the soils used after the trials. The soil pH and textural class remain acidic and sandy loam respectively. The organic carbon increased and decreased inconsistently in greenhouse and field trials respectively. However, in the greenhouse and field, there were no significant differences among the various treatments in organic carbon content of the soil. The available P also increased inconsistently at various levels of Pb treatment in the entire trials. The data in the greenhouse trial revealed no significant differences among the treatments in available P while in the field, the 20 kg Pb ha⁻¹, 40 kg Pb ha⁻¹ and 80 kg Pb ha⁻¹ were significantly higher than the control treatment.

Table 2: Chemical properties of the soil used after the greenhouse and field trials

Heavy Metals	Rate mg/5 kg soil	pH (H ₂ O 1:1)	Org C (gkg ⁻¹)	Av P (mgkg ⁻¹)	Total N (gkg ⁻¹)	Mg	Ca	K (cmolkg ⁻¹)	Exch acidity	ECEC	Na	Fe	Mn (mgkg ⁻¹)	Zn	Free Fe %	Oxide Al %	Amorph Fe %	oxide Al %	Pb (mgkg ⁻¹)	
		Greenhouse					Trial													
Pb	0	4.30a	.92b	3.65a	0.6a	0.28a	0.90a	0.04a	3.07a	4.28a	0.02a	0.01a	0.03a	0.32b	6.07a	1.66a	0.05a	0.02a	0.09d	
	50	4.37a	9.2b	2.77a	0.5a	0.22b	0.80b	0.06a	3.07a	4.18b	0.03a	0.02a	0.04a	0.42a	5.04b	1.67a	0.05a	0.01a	38.56c	
	100	4.63a	8.8c	4.21a	0.4a	0.31a	0.61d	0.05a	3.00b	3.99c	0.02a	0.02a	0.04a	0.40a	5.85a	1.84a	0.06a	0.01a	70.01b	
	200	4.26a	9.5a	4.45a	0.6a	0.30a	0.68c	0.06a	2.37c	3.44d	0.03a	0.02a	0.04a	0.41a	5.16b	1.36b	0.07a	0.01a	127.50a	
		FIELD					Trial													
Pb	0	6.57a	9.8a	4.56cb	1.1a	0.28a	36a	0.02b	1.60a	2.30a	0.17a	0.02a	0.03a	0.28b	6.27a	0.85a	0.09b	0.03a	0.05d	
	20	5.80bc	9.4a	5.88a	1.1a	0.20a	32a	0.04a	1.71a	2.41a	0.14a	0.03a	0.04a	0.32a	5.75b	0.74b	0.07c	.003a	24.73c	
	40	5.57c	8.4a	5.55a	1.1a	0.27a	31a	0.03a	1.82a	2.56a	0.13a	0.03a	0.04a	0.34a	6.10a	0.75b	0.10a	0.03a	55.82b	
	80	6.29b	10.4a	5.62a	1.1a	0.22a	36a	0.04a	1.87a	2.68a	0.16a	0.03a	0.04a	0.33a	5.12c	0.68c	0.09b	0.03a	108.8a	

Values with the same letter in the column are not significantly different from one another at ($P \leq 0.05$)

The N, K, Mg, Ca, Na, Fe, Mn, Zn, exchangeable acidity, free Fe and Al oxides, amorphous Fe and Al oxides declined inconsistently at various Pb treatments in the trials. In the reduction of N, exchangeable acidity, effective cation exchange capacity, Na, Fe, Mn, amorphous Al oxide, there were no significant differences among the various treatment in both trials. The values of Mg in the greenhouse showed that the 0 mg Pb, 100 mg Pb and 200 mg Pb were significantly higher whereas in the field, the 0 kg ha⁻¹ and 40 kg Pb ha⁻¹ became significantly higher. In Ca content of the soil, the 0 mg Pb, 50 mg Pb 200 mg Pb and their equivalent in the field were significantly higher than other treatments. No treatments were significantly different from one another in K content of greenhouse soil while in the field, the 20 kg Pb ha⁻¹, 40 kg Pb ha⁻¹ and 80 kg Pb ha⁻¹ were significantly higher in K content of the soil. The Zn content of greenhouse and field trials saw 50 mg Pb, 100 mg Pb and their equivalent in the field significantly higher than the control treatments.

The values of free Fe oxide in the greenhouse revealed that the 0 mg Pb and 100 mg Pb were significantly higher whereas the 0 kg Pb ha⁻¹ and 20 kg Pb ha⁻¹ treatments were significantly higher in the field. The Free Al oxide in the greenhouse showed the 0 mg Pb, 50 mg Pb and 100 mg Pb to be significantly higher while in the field, 0 kg Pb ha⁻¹ was significantly higher than other treatments. The various treatments were not significantly different from one another in greenhouse amorphous Fe oxide while in the field the 40 kg Pb ha⁻¹ was significantly higher than other treatments. The Pb content of the soil appreciated consistently with increased Pb application in the greenhouse and field trials. The 200 mg Pb and 80 kg Pb ha⁻¹ in the greenhouse and field trials respectively were significantly higher than other treatments in Pb components of the soil.

Nutrient Content and Uptake by the Shoot and Root of *Telfairia occidentalis*:

The nutrient content of the shoot and root are shown in Table 3. The N, P, K, Mg, Ca, Na, Fe, Mn and Zn content of the shoot and root in the trials decreased consistently with increased Pb application. The control treatments were significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher than other treatments.

Table 4 shows the shoot and root nutrient uptake. The shoot and root nutrient uptake also decreased consistently with increased Pb application in the trials. The shoot and root nutrient uptake (N, P, K, Mg, Ca, Na, Fe, Mn, Zn) in the trials decreased with the advancement in Pb application and the minimum significant values of nutrient uptake recorded in high Pb dosage treatment.

The Pb Content and Uptake by the Shoot and Root of *Telfairia occidentalis*:

The Pb content and uptake by the shoot and root are shown in Table 5. The Pb content of the shoot and root increased consistently with increased Pb application in the trials. The accumulation of Pb by the shoot and root in the greenhouse revealed 100 mg Pb and 200 mg Pb treatments significantly higher than other treatments. Similar trend was also recorded in the field by the shoot. In the field, the 80 kg Pb ha⁻¹ was significantly higher than other treatments in the root content of Pb. The root however accumulated higher Pb than the shoot.

The uptake of Pb by the shoot and root (Table 5) increased with increased Pb treatment in the trials. In shoot, the greenhouse 100 mg Pb, 200 mg Pb and their equivalent in the field were significantly higher than other treatments. The greenhouse 200 mg Pb and field 80 kg Pb ha⁻¹ treatments were significantly higher than other treatments in the uptake of Pb by the root. Generally, the uptake of Pb by the root was higher than that of the shoot.

Crude Protein Content of *Telfairia occidentalis*:

The crude protein content of the plant (Table 6) decreased consistently with increased Pb treatments with the control treatments significantly higher than other treatments in the entire trials. The crude protein content of the shoot was higher than that of the root.

Table 3: Shoot mineral content of the plant in the greenhouse and field trials (%)

Heavy metals	Rate mg/5Kg Soil	N	P	K	Mg	Ca	Fe	Mn	Zn	Na	N	P	K	Mg	Ca	Na	Fe	Mn	Zn
		Greenhouse Trial (Shoot)									Greenhouse Trial (Root)								
Pb	0	5.03a	0.68a	4.12a	0.93a	3.08a	0.33a	0.42a	0.51a	4.21a	1.93a	0.24a	1.08a	0.26a	0.98a	2.96a	0.052a	0.020a	0.020a
	50	4.01b	0.60b	3.02b	0.85b	1.13b	0.27b	0.32b	0.36b	3.19b	1.88b	0.16b	0.97b	0.21b	0.81b	1.50b	0.043b	0.015b	0.016b
	100	3.17c	0.51c	1.09c	0.79c	0.93c	0.20c	0.23c	0.21c	3.11c	1.54c	0.13c	0.87c	0.18c	0.55c	1.07c	0.034c	0.013c	0.013c
	200	1.79d	0.29d	1.00d	0.62d	0.78d	0.11d	0.16d	0.15d	2.95d	1.21d	0.10d	0.58d	0.14d	0.44d	0.94d	0.019d	0.010d	0.010d
	Kgha ⁻¹	FIELD Trial (Shoot)									FIELD Trial (Root)								
Pb	0	5.16a	0.71a	4.13c	0.96a	3.10a	0.35a	0.48a	0.54a	4.22a	1.94a	0.35a	1.09a	0.38a	2.03a	2.99a	0.056a	0.022a	0.022a
	20	4.27b	0.67a	3.07b	0.87b	1.22b	0.29b	0.37b	0.43b	3.20b	1.87b	0.26b	0.98b	0.37a	1.01b	1.59b	0.045b	0.018b	0.015b
	40	3.49c	0.57b	1.13c	0.80c	0.94c	0.23c	0.34c	0.30c	3.11c	1.57c	0.23c	0.88c	0.23b	0.83c	1.15c	0.035c	0.015c	0.016b
	80	1.96d	0.39c	1.08c	0.63d	0.80d	0.13d	0.20d	0.19d	2.97d	1.27d	0.21d	0.68d	0.20c	0.53d	0.97c	0.020d	0.012d	0.012c

Values with the same letter in the column are not significantly different from one another at P(< 0.05)

Table 4: Shoot and root mineral uptake as influenced by various levels of lead in the greenhouse and field trials (mgkg⁻¹)

Heavy Metal	Rate mg/5kgsoil	N	P	K	Ca	Mg	Na	Fe	Mn	Zn	N	P	K	Ca	Mg	Na	Fe	Mn	Zn
		Greenhouse Trial (Shoo)									Greenhouse Trial (Root)								
Pb	0	175.70a	23.98a	144.75a	107.48a	32.78a	147.10a	11.56a	14.75a	17.89a	16.97a	2.17a	9.54a	8.66a	2.30a	26.17a	0.45a	0.17a	0.18s
	50	110.90ab	16.65b	83.96b	31.53b	23.66a	88.37a	8.40ab	9.91b	11.54ab	12.37a	0.90a	6.43a	5.34a	1.36a	9.70bc	0.28b	0.10a	0.11s
	100	73.70b	13.79b	29.20c	25.03b	21.16a	83.66a	5.28ab	6.25b	5.56b	8.03a	0.65a	4.54a	2.87a	0.93a	5.47c	0.18c	0.07a	0.10a
	200	48.10b	7.66bc	26.21c	20.57b	16.05a	77.17a	3.02b	4.11c	3.95b	5.64a	0.48a	2.63b	2.03b	0.65a	5.42c	0.13d	0.06b	0.05b
	Kgha ⁻¹	FIELD Trial (Shoot)									field Trial (Root)								
Pb	0	187.40a	25.80a	150.05a	112.76a	34.76a	153.33a	12.57a	17.44a	19.62a	16.43a	3.02a	9.28a	17.25a	3.20a	25.37a	0.50a	0.18a	0.09a
	20	120.7b	18.85b	86.76b	34.51b	24.59b	89.92b	8.11b	10.55b	12.19b	12.93b	1.82bc	6.46b	6.99b	2.55b	10.97b	0.31bc	0.12b	0.13b
	40	94.82c	15.61c	30.83c	25.66c	21.76c	84.68c	6.12c	9.34c	8.16c	9.24c	1.34c	5.17c	4.48c	1.36c	6.76bc	0.21c	0.09c	0.09bd
	80	52.10d	10.26d	28.66c	21.32d	16.63d	78.81d	5.57d	5.22d	5.08c	6.59d	1.13c	3.57c	2.77c	1.21c	5.11c	0.11d	0.07d	0.06d

Values with the same letter in the column are not significantly different from one another at P(<0.05)

Table 5: Lead content (%) and uptake (mgkg⁻¹) by *Telfaira occidentalis* in the greenhouse and field trials

Greenhouse Trial				Greenhouse Trial			
Heavy metals	Rate mg/5kg soil	Shoot Pb content	Root Pb content	Heavy metals	Rate mg/5kg soil	Shoot Pb uptake	Root Pb uptake
Pb	0	0.08b	4.36b	Pb	0	2.74b	25.90c
	50	0.13b	6.01b		50	3.52b	31.41c
	100	0.19a	26.82a		100	5.20a	93.58b
	200	0.23 a	28.82a		200	6.22a	128.76a
	kgha ⁻¹	Field	Trial		kgha ⁻¹	Field	Trial
Pb	0	0.004b	0.023d	Pb	0	0.13c	0.20d
	20	0.08b	2.50c		20	2.27b	16.99c
	40	0.19a	5.87b		40	5.22a	34.76b
	80	0.22a	8.27a		80	5.87a	43.71a

Values with the same letter in the column are not significantly different from one another (P<0.05)

Table 6: Effect of lead on crude protein content of *Telfaira occidentalis* in the greenhouse and field trials (%)

Greenhouse Trial				Field Trial			
Heavy metals	Rate mg/5kg soil	Shoot	Root	Heavy metals	Rate kgha ⁻¹	Shoot	Root
Pb	0	31.41a	12.06a	Pb	0	32.23a	12.14a
	50	25.06b	11.79b		20	26.69b	11.71b
	100	19.81c	9.65c		40	21.77c	9.79c
	200	11.19d	7.56d		80	12.27d	7.81d

Values with the same letter in the column are not significantly different from one another (P<0.05)

Dry Matter Yield of *Telfairia occidentalis*:

Table 7 shows the dry matter yield of the plant in the trials. The dry matter yield declined with the advancement in the application of Pb. The data in the greenhouse trial showed that there were no significant differences among various treatments in shoot and root dry matter. In the field, the 0 kg Pb ha⁻¹ and 20 kg Pb ha⁻¹ were significantly higher in root dry matter while in the shoot dry matter the 0 kg Pbha⁻¹ was found to be significantly higher.

Growth Parameters of *Telfairia occidentalis*:

The growth parameters (Table 8) increased with the advancement of the plant growth stages and they were highest at harvest. The plant height, number of leaves, stem girth and leaf area decreased consistently with increased Pb application. Generally, the control treatments in the trials had higher values than other treatments at 30 days after transplanting. At final harvest in the greenhouse, the 0 mg Pb treatment was significantly higher in height and leaf area while 0 mg Pb and 50 mg Pb were significantly higher in stem girth. The final harvest in the field recorded 0 kg Pb ha⁻¹ and 20 kg Pb ha⁻¹ treatments significantly higher in height, stem girth and leaf area whereas the 0 kg Pb ha⁻¹ was significantly higher in number of leaves.

Table 7: Effect of lead on dry matter of *Telfaira occidentalis* in the greenhouse and field trials (g)

Greenhouse Trial				Field Trial			
Heavy Metals	mg/5kg soil	Root dry Weight	Shoot dry Weight	Heavy Metal	Kgha ⁻¹	Root dry Weight	Shoot dry weight
Pb	0	0.88a	3.50a	Pb	0	0.85a	3.63a
	50	0.66a	2.77a		20	0.69b	2.83b
	100	0.51a	2.69a		40	0.59c	2.72b
	200	0.47a	2.61a		80	0.53d	2.65c

Values with the same letter in the column are not significantly different from one another ($P \leq 0.05$)

Table 8: Effect of lead on some agronomic characters of *Telfaira occidentalis* in greenhouse and field trials

Heavy metal	Rate (mg/5kg soil)	Plant height (cm)			Stem girth (cm)			Leaf area (cm ²)			Number of leaves		
		10	20	30	10	20	30	10	20	30	10	20	30
Greenhouse Trial													
Pb	0	51.33a	59.33a	93.60a	1.17a	1.91a	2.13a	22.67a	32.87a	50.57a	11.00a	14.33a	19.00a
	50	48.00a	57.00a	67.00b	1.00ab	1.85a	2.11a	20.03b	30.73a	44.43b	9.67a	14.00a	18.33a
	100	44.67a	58.17a	64.33b	0.93b	1.45b	1.87b	19.77b	28.83a	43.10b	9.67a	13.33a	18.33a
	200	40.85a	46.33a	58.33b	0.90b	1.21c	1.70c	19.03b	26.37b	36.67c	9.67a	13.00a	13.67b
Field Trial													
Pb	0	48.54a	72.33a	92.10a	1.51a	2.03a	2.47a	26.40a	36.02a	85.17a	13.33a	25.00a	51.00a
	20	47.63a	68.83a	86.51ab	1.50a	2.00a	2.34ab	25.20b	34.84a	73.50ab	12.00a	24.67a	31.33b
	40	43.74a	67.90a	80.83b	1.43b	1.86b	2.21b	24.50b	33.80ab	70.50b	11.00a	23.00a	29.00bc
	80	43.56a	56.37b	59.45c	1.40b	1.64c	2.01c	23.33c	32.13b	47.73c	10.33a	21.67a	26.33c

Values with the same letter in the column are not significantly different from one another ($P < 0.05$)

DAT: Days after transplanting.

Visual Observations of *Telfaira occidentalis*:

It was observed that the leaves of the plant treated with 200 mg Pb and 80 kg Pbha⁻¹ developed anthocyanin pigmentation as from 20 days after transplanting in the greenhouse and field trials

DISCUSSION

The properties of soil used indicated that the soil is low in fertility, which is typical of an ultisol as shown by its low percent base saturation (less than 35%). The low percent base saturation distinguished it from Alfisol (Brady and Weil, 2002). The particle size revealed that the soil is sandy loam and was not influenced by the applied heavy metal. (No data). The decrease in some of the soil nutrient content such as N, P, K, Mg, Ca, Na and organic carbon was not consistent. The fluctuation of these mineral nutrients may be tied to the plants uptake at different levels of Pb applied. The decrease in oxides may be due to their solubility as a result of low pH in the soil used. Generally, oxides solubility is very low at the pH range of soils and depends on the particle size, crystallinity and the percent of Al substitution (Schwertmann, 1991). The pH of soil used may have favoured the reduction in the oxides. The quantification of oxides in soils and sediments is often complicated by a considerable variation in crystallinity (Schwertmann *et al*, 1985) but it is estimated that iron oxides concentrations in various soils vary from <0.1 to > 50% and they may be evenly distributed in the matrix or concentrated in horizons concretion, mottles, bands or clay minerals coating (Schwertmann, 1991). The oxide values obtained in this study compared well with the estimated range of <0.1 %-> 50% as reported by Schwertmann above. The increase in the Pb content of the soil is attributed to the increase in the amount or concentration of the Pb applied to the soil. Similarly Gundermann and Hutchinson (1995), Tam and Singh (2004) also found elevated heavy metals in soil contaminated by heavy metal mine spoils.

The decrease in growth parameters because of increase in the Pb treatments may be attributed to the influence of Pb especially in the higher dosage. Stunted growth recorded especially in those treated with the metal is a commonly observed growth response in a wide range of plants grown in metal-laden soils. This result further strengthens earlier report of Foy *et al* (1978) that heavy metals decrease plant vegetative growth. The reduced shoot and root biomass of the Pb treated plants in this study can also be due to specific toxicity of the metal to the plant, antagonism with other nutrients in the plants or inhibition of root growth in the soil. Similar results have earlier been made by Azmat *et al* (2006) in *Phaseolus mungo* and *Lens culinaris*. The presence of anthocyanin pigmentation in leaves of plants treated with higher Pb can be ascribed to a deficiency of phosphorus. The Pb reported earlier by Johnson and Proctor, (1977), Johnson *et al* (1977) have been known to form insoluble complexes with phosphorus leading to anthocyanin pigmentation (visual observation) in the leaves of plants. Similar anthocyanin pigmentation and inhibited growth have also been reported by Daniel-Davis (1996) as cited by Begonia, (2006) in a corollary greenhouse study involving India mustard treated with 500 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ Pb. The depression in root growth in the Pb treated soils could also be attributed to lack of oxygen because of Pb application. Godzik (1993) has also asserted it that nutrients are generally absorbed against concentration gradients; consequently respiratory energy is required for mineral uptake. In order for respiration

to continue in the roots, oxygen must be available in root zone (Azmat and Haider 2007). Roots, which become totally submerged in soil contaminated by heavy metals, will suffer from lack of oxygen and this will lead to slow growth and inhibitory effect of toxic metal on roots of plants (Jones *et al* 1973). The Pb may have altered the levels of mineral elements in the roots by physically blocking off mineral ions from absorption sites of roots. The inhibition of root growth as demonstrated by the root weight after exposure to the Pb may be related with decrease in Ca in the root tips of *Telfairia occidentalis* leading to decrease in cell division or cell elongation as earlier reported by Rout and Das (2003) with Norway spruce plants and Paude *et al* (2007) with Brahmi plants.

Higher Pb concentration caused imbalances of micro and macronutrients in growing plants as shown or revealed in this study. This result supports the finding of Eun *et al* (2002) who reported that high concentration of heavy metal in environment causes imbalance of minerals in growing plants. Many observed action of Pb appear to be indirect as a result of mineral imbalance within the tissue of *Telfairia* plants bringing significant changes in nutrients in plants under the heavy metal toxicity. Reduction in nutrient content as well as in internal ratios of nutrients may have occurred in the *Telfairia* plants under Pb stress as observed earlier by Pinero *et al* (2002). The Pb may have damaged the tissue cells of vascular bundles, which resulted in the inhibition of conduction of water molecules from root to aerial parts of the plant hence there was reduction in plant nutrients, which led to slow growth and development. This reduction in plant nutrients is similar to the finding of Eun *et al* (2002) and Azmat *et al* (2006). The decrease in the uptake of nutrient by shoot and root may be attributed to interference of the metabolism of mineral nutrients by Pb application. Two mechanisms for decreased uptake of nutrients under heavy metal toxicity have been suggested. The first mechanism termed physical, relies on the size of metal ion radii, whereas second mechanism, which is a chemical one, relies on the metal-induced disorder in the cell metabolism leading to change in membrane enzymes activities and membrane structures (Azmat and Haider, 2007). These mechanisms may have occurred on *Telfairia* plant hence the decrease in plant nutrient uptake.

The protein content of both the shoot and root also decreased with increase application of the heavy metals. This result is similar to the finding of Okyto (1997) with 39.2% crude protein and Oboh (2005) who reported 38% crude protein in *Telfairia* plants grown in soils not contaminated with heavy metals. The depression of the protein content is attributed to the decrease in uptake of some minerals by the plant. For instance, the K acts as a coenzymes or activator of many enzyme systems (Kabata-Pendias and Pendia 1992). Higher K levels according to Schreinemaker (1984) are needed for protein synthesis. In this study, the excess Pb applied may have caused leakage of K ions, which may have depressed protein formation in both shoot and root.

There was a significant difference between the aerial and root organs of the plant with respect to applied Pb and resultant accumulation trend of Pb. In the result, more Pb was found higher in the root than the shoot making the plant *Telfairia* a metal excluder. A metal excluder plant according to Raskin *et al* (1994) prevents metal from entering their aerial part or maintains low and constant metal concentration over a broad range of the concentration in soil and they mainly restrict metal in their root as demonstrated by *Telfairia* in this study. The ability of the metal excluder to restrict heavy metals to root is based on the mechanisms that actively growing roots provide a barrier, which restricts the movement of heavy metal to above ground parts of plants. This restricted movement by root in addition to low mobility of Pb may explain why heavy metal concentration in shoots was relatively less than in the root. Jones *et al* (1973), Malone *et al* (1974), Begonia (2006) have earlier reported similar results. This point in view was further substantiated by the earlier finding of Kumer *et al* (1995), which showed that significant heavy metal translocation to the shoot of Indian Mustard was only relatively high at high concentration of heavy metal in the hydroponics solution and after the lead-binding capacity of roots was partially saturated.

Conclusion:

The study revealed that the presence of Pb had an effect on plant performance by altering the rate of nutrient uptake, synthesis and translocation of vital mineral elements in the plant. The soil nutrient elements were not enhanced by the application of Pb. In addition, more Pb accumulated in the root than the shoot. The low concentration of this heavy metal in the shoot in control treatments at harvest is below specified maximum acceptable level of 0.3 mgkg⁻¹ for leafy vegetables by the WHO (1984) and Codex Alimentarius Commission (2004). The low level of this metal in control treatment makes the plant not to be hazardous to health when consumed. Those treated with Pb deviated from this acceptable level making it hazardous to health when regularly consumed. However, the decrease in crude protein content of the plant because of increase in Pb application could reduce the nutritive value of the plant and then heavy metal load in food and human nutrition.

REFERENCES

- Association of Official Analytical Chemists (A.O.A.C), 1970. Official methods of analysis Ed 11 Washington D.C.
- Azmat, R. and S. Haider, 2007. Pb Stress on phytochemistry of seedlings *Phaseolus mungo* and *Lens culinaris*. Asian Journal of Plant Science, 6(2): 332-337.
- Azmat, R. S. Haider and S. Askari, 2006. Phytotoxicity of Pb I: Effects of Pb on germination, growth, morphology and histomorphology of *Phaseolus mungo* and *Lens Culinaris*. Pakistan Journal of Biological Science, 9: 979-984.
- Begonia, G.B., 2006. Comparative lead uptake and responses of plants grown in lead contaminated soils. www.ejoun3.htm.
- Black, C.A., 1965. Methods of soil analysis. Agronomy No 9 Part 2. America Society of Agronomy Madison, Wisconsin.
- Brady, N.C. and R.R. Weil, 2002. The nature and properties of soils. 13th Edition. Hall Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.
- Clark, R.S., 1995. Marine Pollution, 3rd edition Oxford University Press London, pp: 169.
- Codex Alimentarius Commission, 2004. Report of the 36th Session of Codex Alimentarius Committee on Food Additives and Contaminants Rotterdam, The Netherlands 22 – 26 March.
- Day, P.R., 1965. Particle fractionation and particle size analysis: In methods of soil analysis, (C.A. Black Ed). Agronomy No 9 Part 1. American Society of Agronomy Madison, Wisconsin
- Eun, S.O., H.S. Youn and Y. Lee, 2002. Lead disturbs microtubule organization in root meristem of *Zea mays* Physiology of Plants, 110: 357-365.
- Forstner, U., 1995. Land contamination by metals: Global scope and magnitude of problem In H. E. Allen; C.P. Huang; G.W. Bailey and A. R Bowers eds. Metal speciation and contamination of soil CRC Press, Boca Raton FL, pp: 133.
- Foy, C.D., R.L. Chaney and M.C. White, 1978. The physiology of metal toxicity in plants. Annual Review of Plant Physiology, 29: 511-566.
- Geeblen, W.J., D.C. Vangronsveld, L.C. Adriano, Poucke and H. Clijsters, 2002. Effect of Pb-EDTA and EDTA on oxidative stress reactions and mineral uptake in *Phaseolus vulgaris* Physiology of Plants, 115: 377-384.
- Godzik, B., 1993. Heavy metal contents in plants from zinc dumps and reference area. Poland Botanical Studies, 5: 113-132.
- Goldbold, D.L. and C. Kettner, 1991. Lead influence on root growth and mineral nutrition of *Picea abies* seedlings. Journal of Plant Physiology, 139: 95-99.
- Gundermann, D.G. and T.C. Hutchinson, 1995. Changes in Soil Chemistry 20 years after the Closure of nickel-copper smelter near Sudbury, Ontario, Canada. Geochemical Exploration, 52: 231-236.
- Haider, S., S. Kanwal, F. Uddin and R. Azmat, 2006. Phytotoxicity of Pb II: Changes in chlorophyll absorption spectrum due to toxic metal Pb stress on *Phaseolus mungo* and *Lens culinaris*. Pakistan Journal of Biological Science, 9: 2062-2068.
- Jackson, M.L., 1962. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall, New York, 263-268.
- Johnson, M.S., T. McNeilly and P.O. Putwain, 1977. Revegetation of metalliferous mine soil contaminated by lead and zinc. Environmental Pollution, 12: 261-277.
- Johnson, W.R. and J. Proctor, 1977. A comparative study of metal levels in plant from two contrasting lead mine sites Plant and Soil, 46: 251-257.
- Jones, L.H.P. C.R. Clement and M.J. Hoop, 1973. Lead uptake from solution by perennial ryegrass and its transport from root and shoots. Plant Soil, 38: 403-414.
- International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), 1979. Selected methods for soil and plant analysis. Manual Series, 1: 70.
- Kabata-Pendias, A. and H. Pendias, 1992. Trace elements in soils and plants. 2nd Edition CRC Press Boca Raton, London.
- Keresan, S., N. Petrovic, M. Popovic and J. Kandrak, 2001. Nitrogen and protein metabolism in young pea plants as effected by different concentration of nickel, cadmium and molybdenum. Journal of Plant Nutrition, 24: 1633-1644.
- Khan, D.H. and R. Frankland, 1983. Effects of cadmium and lead on raddish with particular reference to movement of metals through soil profile and plant. Plant and Soil, 70: 335-345.
- Kumer, P.B.A.N. V. Dushenkov, H. Matto and I. Raskin, 1995. Phytoextraction The use of plants to remove heavy metals from soils. Environmental Science and Technology, 29: 1232-1238.

- Malone, C.D., D.E. Koeppel and R.I. Miller, 1974. Localization of lead accumulated by corn plants. *Plant Physiology*, 53: 385-394.
- McLean, E.O., 1965. Aluminium. In: *Methods of soil analysis* (ed C.A Black) Agronomy No 9 Part 2. American Society of Agronomy, 978-998.
- Moffat, A.S., 1995. Plants growing their worth in toxic metal clean up. *Science*, 269: 302-303.
- Murphy, J. and J.P. Riley, 1962. *Analytical chemistry*. Acta, 27: 31-36.
- Obboh, G., 2005. Nutritional and safety evaluation of some tropical green leafy vegetables. *Journal of Food Technology*, 3(3): 389-392.
- Okyto, B.N., 1997. Neglected plants of horticultural and medicinal importance in Traditional farming system in Tropical Africa. *Acta Horticulture*, 131-149.
- Paude, P., S. Chand, K. Vinod, M. Anwa and D.D. Patra, 2007. Influence of Chromium with Vermicompost on growth and accumulation by Brahim *Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 38: 2815-2829.
- Payne, R.W., 2002. *Gent stat 6.1: Reeve manual* VSN International Ltd. Oxford.
- Pinero, H.J.L., R.K. Miti, M.A. Julia-Verdestar G.G. Diaz, A.N Dnzalez Cardenas, M.L. Avila and R. Orough- Bakhiih, 2002. Effect of Pb and Cd on seedlings, growth, chlorophyll and protein content of common bean. *Phaseolus vulgaris*, Alfa alfa (*Medicago sativa*), Avena (*Avena sativa*) and rye grass (*Lolium multiflorum*) selected as hyper accumulator of heavy metal. *Research on Crops*, 3: 473-480.
- Raskin, I., P.B.A. Kumar, N. Dushenkova and D. Salt, 1994. Bio-concentration of heavy metals by plants. *Current Opinion on Biotechnology*, 5: 285-290.
- Rout, G.R. and P. Das, 2003. Effect of metal toxicity on plant growth and metabolism I Zinc. *Agronomic*, 23: 3-11.
- Schreinemakers, W.A., 1984. Effect of metal ions on growth and on ion absorption by *spirodela polyrbaza* (L) Schleiden. Effects of iron, magnesium and zinc *Z. Pflanzen Physiology*, 114: 123-129.
- Schwabmann, U., C. Philippe and E. Murad, 1985. Properties of goethite varying in Crystallinity Clays and Clay Minerals, 33: 369-378.
- Schwertmann, U., 1991. Solubility and dissolution of iron oxides *Plant and Soil*, 130: 1-25.
- Soon, Y.K. and S. Abboud, 1993. Cadmium, chromium, lead and nickel In: *Soil sampling and methods of soil analysis* (eds M.R. Carter). Canadian Society of Soil Science, 101-108.
- Tam, Y.L. and B. Singh, 2004. Heavy metals availability at industrially contaminated soils in NSW, Austral: In A.L. Juhaz, G Magesan and R. Naidu (eds). *Waste Management, Science*. Publishers Plymouth, 97-120.
- WHO (World Health Organization), 1984. *Guidelines for soil, water and plant quality, Recommendation* W.H.O. Geneva, 1: 130.